THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION

Editor's Note: To Your Health *Victoria Prussen Spears*

A Patchwork in Need of Permanent Repair: The U.S. Framework for Recommending and Covering Preventive Care Richard Hughes IV and Kevin Lutes

The Food and Drug Administration Won't Budge on Cannabis and Hemp *Eric P. Berlin, Joanne Caceres, and Amy Rubenstein*

The Department of Justice Issues New Guidance on Charging, Pleas, and Sentencing

John J. Delionado, Kevin E. Gaunt, and Martha Saine Condyles

The Securities and Exchange Commission Adopted Long-Awaited Executive Compensation Regulations. What Now? Michael J. Albano, Audry X. Casusol, and Laura Bagarella

Voluntary Carbon Markets: An Overview of U.S. Regulatory Developments Deborah North and Laura Daugherty

The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States Says Chinese Investment in North Dakota Agricultural Land Is Outside Its Jurisdiction Antonia I. Tzinova, Robert A. Friedman, Marina Veljanovska O'Brien, and Sarah K. Hubner

The Environmental Protection Agency Revises All Appropriate Inquiry Regulations Bryan Keyt, Erin Brooks, Brittainy Cavender, and John Kindschuh

Export Authorizations Pertaining to the U.S. Government: Current Scope and Historical Perspective Beth Peters and Ashley E. Roberts



The Journal of Federal Agency Action

Volume 1, No. 3 May–June 2023

- 171 Editor's Note: To Your Health Victoria Prussen Spears
- 175 A Patchwork in Need of Permanent Repair: The U.S. Framework for Recommending and Covering Preventive Care Richard Hughes IV and Kevin Lutes
- 199 The Food and Drug Administration Won't Budge on Cannabis and Hemp Eric P. Berlin, Joanne Caceres, and Amy Rubenstein
- 205 The Department of Justice Issues New Guidance on Charging, Pleas, and Sentencing John J. Delionado, Kevin E. Gaunt, and Martha Saine Condyles
- 209 The Securities and Exchange Commission Adopted Long-Awaited Executive Compensation Regulations. What Now? Michael J. Albano, Audry X. Casusol, and Laura Bagarella
- 219 Voluntary Carbon Markets: An Overview of U.S. Regulatory Developments Deborah North and Laura Daugherty
- 227 The Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States Says Chinese Investment in North Dakota Agricultural Land Is Outside Its Jurisdiction Antonia I. Tzinova, Robert A. Friedman, Marina Veljanovska O'Brien, and

Antonia I. Tzinova, Robert A. Friedman, Marina Veljanovska O'Brien, and Sarah K. Hubner

- 235 The Environmental Protection Agency Revises All Appropriate Inquiry Regulations Bryan Keyt, Erin Brooks, Brittainy Cavender, and John Kindschuh
- 241 Export Authorizations Pertaining to the U.S. Government: Current Scope and Historical Perspective Beth Peters and Ashley E. Roberts

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Steven A. Meyerowitz *President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.*

EDITOR

Victoria Prussen Spears Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS

Lynn E. Calkins Partner, Holland & Knight LLP Washington, D.C.

Helaine I. Fingold

Member, Epstein Becker & Green, P.C. Baltimore

Nancy A. Fischer

Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP Washington, D.C.

Bethany J. Hills

Partner, DLA Piper New York

Phil Lookadoo

Partner, Haynes and Boone, LLP Washington, D.C.

Michelle A. Mantine

Partner, Reed Smith LLP Pittsburgh

Ryan J. Strasser

Partner, Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP Richmond & Washington, D.C. THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION (ISSN 2834-8796 (print) / ISSN 2834-8818 (online)) at \$495.00 annually is published six times per year by Full Court Press, a Fastcase, Inc., imprint. Copyright 2023 Fastcase, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner.

For customer support, please contact Fastcase, Inc., 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005, 202.999.4777 (phone), or email customer service at support@fastcase.com.

Publishing Staff Publisher: Morgan Morrissette Wright Production Editor: Sharon D. Ray Cover Art Design: Morgan Morrissette Wright and Sharon D. Ray

This journal's cover includes a photo of Washington D.C.'s Metro Center underground station. The Metro's distinctive coffered and vaulted ceilings were designed by Harry Weese in 1969. They are one of the United States' most iconic examples of the brutalist design style often associated with federal administrative buildings. The photographer is by XH_S on Unsplash, used with permission.

Cite this publication as:

The Journal of Federal Agency Action (Fastcase)

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

Copyright © 2023 Full Court Press, an imprint of Fastcase, Inc. All Rights Reserved. A Full Court Press, Fastcase, Inc., Publication

Editorial Office

729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005 https://www.fastcase.com/

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE JOURNAL OF FEDERAL AGENCY ACTION, 729 15th Street, NW, Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20005.

Articles and Submissions

Direct editorial inquiries and send material for publication to:

Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park, NY 11005, smeyerowitz@ meyerowitzcommunications.com, 631.291.5541.

Material for publication is welcomed—articles, decisions, or other items of interest to attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, corporate compliance officers, government agencies and their counsel, senior business executives, and anyone interested in federal agency actions.

This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please contact:

Morgan Morrissette Wright, Publisher, Full Court Press at mwright@fastcase.com or at 202.999.4878

For questions or Sales and Customer Service:

Customer Service Available 8 a.m.–8 p.m. Eastern Time 866.773.2782 (phone) support@fastcase.com (email)

Sales 202.999.4777 (phone) sales@fastcase.com (email)

ISSN 2834-8796 (print) ISSN 2834-8818 (online)

The Department of Justice Issues New Guidance on Charging, Pleas, and Sentencing

John J. Delionado, Kevin E. Gaunt, and Martha Saine Condyles*

In this article, the authors discuss a memorandum issued recently by U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland that makes it clear that prosecutors must give careful thought to making charging decisions consistent with the ultimate goal of achieving a sanction that is sufficient, but not disproportionate, to redress and deter the wrongdoing.

U.S. Attorney General Merrick Garland has issued a memorandum¹ (the memorandum or the Garland memo) providing updated guidance to federal prosecutors on the Department of Justice's (DOJ) general policies regarding charging, pleas, and sentencing for federal offenses.² The memorandum reflects a gentler prosecutorial tone with potentially positive implications for criminal defendants, including in the white-collar and corporate criminal enforcement context.

Guidance on Charging Decisions

The memorandum restates the long-standing DOJ policy that a prosecutor should not initiate a prosecution unless it is probable that the admissible evidence is sufficient to obtain a conviction and that the conviction will be upheld on appeal. However, even if this threshold is met, prosecution should not ensue if it would not serve a "substantial federal interest" or if adequate alternatives to prosecution are available.

In determining the sufficiency of serving a federal interest, prosecutors must conduct an "individualized assessment" and "weigh all relevant considerations," including the nature and seriousness of the offense, the individual's history with respect to criminal activity, their willingness to cooperate in the investigation of others, their "personal circumstances," and the probable sentence, if convicted. In assessing whether adequate alternatives to federal prosecution are available, the prosecutor is advised to consider if effective prosecutions have been commenced by state or local authorities and whether sufficient noncriminal alternatives to prosecution exist, such as administrative remedies or a pretrial diversion. The memorandum directs each district to adopt a pretrial diversion policy.

For the white-collar defendant, these directives give defense counsel additional bargaining power at the precharging stage. Particularly for defendants who lack a criminal history and are not alleged to have committed egregious crimes, defense counsel can argue that civil remedies may be more appropriate or seek to divert their client to a pretrial diversion program in lieu of prosecution, an option that has rarely been afforded to white-collar criminal defendants in the past. For example, restitution and a diversion away from traditional criminal processing and into nonprison sentences, such as community service, may be appropriate under the totality of the circumstances, and should be assessed on a caseby-case basis.

Guidance on Selection of Charges and Mandatory Minimums

The Garland memo also reflects a continued move away from the Trump administration's charging policy that mandated prosecutors hold as a "core principle" that they "charge and pursue the most serious, readily provable offense." As an initial step away from this policy, in January 2021, the Biden administration, through then-acting Attorney General Monty Wilkinson, rescinded the Trump-era guidance in a memo entitled "Interim Guidance on Prosecutorial Discretion, Charging, and Sentencing." The goal of this interim step—prior to formulating a long-term policy—was to ensure that charging decisions were being made on an individual assessment of the facts of each case instead of automatically defaulting to the most serious charge available.

The Garland memo expands on the Wilkinson interim guidance, emphasizing that charging decisions be "informed by the individualized assessment of all the facts and circumstances of each particular case," with the goal being a sanction that is proportional to the seriousness of the defendant's conduct. In this context, the memorandum addresses the proliferation of mandatory minimum sentences that can result in disproportionally long sentences relative to the charged conduct. Accordingly, the memorandum directs prosecutors to reserve charges that subject a defendant to mandatory minimums for instances where lesser included charges that do not carry a mandatory minimum would be insufficient to address either the seriousness of the defendant's conduct or an existing danger to the community. Prosecutors, in their discretion and in consultation with their supervisors, must assess all these considerations to meet the stated prosecutorial goal of a sanction that is "sufficient, but not greater than necessary."

This shift in policy under the Biden administration affords defendants more leverage to seek less serious charges or negotiate with the government to consider plea agreements that do not include the de facto severest penalty. The guidance also suggests a potential departure from the common practice in the context of financial crimes to stack on myriad charges resulting from the same conduct. This change is particularly significant in the white-collar context because prosecutors often have a wide range of potential charges that they could levy against a corporate defendant in financial fraud cases, including money laundering, wire fraud, and conspiracy.

Guidance on Plea Agreements

The memorandum also clearly instructs prosecutors against utilizing the threat of criminal charges to induce a plea deal. Specifically, the memorandum states that "charges should not be filed simply to exert leverage to induce a plea." Additionally, all plea agreements and charging decisions must be reviewed by a supervising attorney, and the inclusion of a mandatory minimum charge in a charging document or plea agreement must also obtain supervisory approval. Given these directives, defense counsel should not hesitate to engage with supervisors in the early stages of negotiation to attempt to thwart sometimes overzealous line prosecutors.

Conclusion

The Garland memo is a clear deviation from previous guidance under the Trump administration that essentially obligated prosecutors to always pursue the most serious, provable offense. Whether the Garland memo will have any significant effect on white-collar criminal defendants remains to be seen, but it should not be overlooked in future negotiations with the DOJ, particularly for less egregious, first-time offenders. The guidance gives prosecutors wide, but not unfettered, discretion in their charging decisions, and mandates an individual assessment of the defendant's conduct. The clear message is that prosecutors must give careful thought to making charging decisions consistent with the ultimate goal of achieving a sanction that is sufficient, but not disproportionate, to redress and deter the wrongdoing.

Notes

* The authors, attorneys with Hunton Andrews Kurth, may be contacted at jdelionado@huntonak.com, kgaunt@huntonak.com, and mcondyles@huntonak.com, respectively.

1. https://www.justice.gov/media/1265321/dl?inline.

2. Merrick B. Garland, Att'y Gen., Memorandum for All Federal Prosecutors, General Departmental Policies Regarding Charging, Pleas, and Sentencing (Dec. 16, 2022). Note that the Garland memo was issued along with a companion memorandum that specifically instructed federal prosecutors to end disparities in charging and sentencing involving crack and powder cocaine, intending to reverse decades of policy that resulted in the disproportionate incarceration of Black Americans by treating crack offenders more punitively.