



Presentation for:

Executive Compensation Webinar Series May 13, 2021

Presentation by:

Anthony Eppert@HuntonAK.com 512.542.5013

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH

Housekeeping: Questions

- Questions during this presentation
 - We encourage questions (even though your audio lines are muted)
 - To submit a question, simply type the question in the blank field on the right-hand side of the menu bar and press return
 - If time permits, your questions will be answered at the end of this presentation. And
 if there is insufficient time, the speaker will respond to you via e-mail after this
 presentation



Housekeeping: Recording, CE Credits and Disclaimer

- Recording
 - This presentation is being recorded for internal purposes only
- Continuing education credits
 - A purpose of the webinar series is to provide FREE CE credits
 - To that end, each presentation is intended to provide 1 credit hour in the following areas:
 - CLE: 1 credit hour (CA, FL, GA, NC, NY, TX and VA)
 - CPE: 1 credit hour (Texas)
 - HRCI: This activity has been approved for 1 (HR (General)) recertification credit hours toward California, GPHR, PHRi, SPHRI, PHR, and SPHR recertification through the HR Certification Institute
 - > SHRM: This program is valid for 1 PDC for the SHRM-CPSM or SHRM-SCPSM
 - If you have any questions relating to CE credits, please direct them to Anthony Eppert at AnthonyEppert@HuntonAK.com or 713.220.4276
- Disclaimer
 - This presentation is intended for informational and educational purposes only, and cannot be relied upon as legal advice
 - Any assumptions used in this presentation are for illustrative purposes only
 - No attorney-client relationship is created due to your attending this presentation or due to your receipt of program materials

About Anthony "Tony" Eppert





Anthony Eppert , Partner Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

Tel: +1.713.220.4276

Email: AnthonyEppert@HuntonAK.com

- Tony practices in the areas of executive compensation and employee benefits
- Before entering private practice, Tony:
 - Served as a judicial clerk to the Hon.
 Richard F. Suhrheinrich of the United
 States Court of Appeals for the Sixth
 Circuit
 - Obtained his LL.M. (Taxation) from New York University
 - Obtained his J.D. (Tax Concentration) from Michigan State University College of Law
 - Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Medicine and Law
 - President, Tax and Estate Planning Society





- 2021 webinars:
 - Training Course on Designing an Equity Incentive Plan (6/10/21)
 - Training Course on Stock Option Awards and Stock Appreciation Rights (7/8/21)
 - Training Course on Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Awards (8/12/21)
 - Preparing for Proxy Season: Start Now (Annual Program) (9/9/21)
 - How to Properly Hire and Fire an Executive Officer (10/14/21)
 - A Review of Unique Non-Employee Director Compensation Arrangements (11/11/21)
 - Thoughts on Maximizing the Deductibility of Compensatory Arrangements (12/9/21)

Sign up here: https://www.huntonak.com/en/insights/executive-compensation-webinar-schedule.html



Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart

- Compensation issues are complex, especially for publicly-traded issuers, and involve substantive areas of:
 - Tax.
 - Securities,
 - Accounting,
 - Governance,
 - Surveys, and
 - Human Resources
- Historically, compensation issues were addressed using multiple service providers, including:
 - Tax lawyers,
 - Securities/corporate lawyers,
 - Labor & employment lawyers,
 - Accountants, and
 - Survey consultants



Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart (cont.)

 The members of our Compensation Practice Group are multi-disciplinary within the various substantive areas of compensation. As multi-disciplinary practitioners, we take a holistic and full-service approach to compensation matters that considers all substantive areas of compensation



Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart (cont.)



 Our Compensation Practice Group provides a variety of multi-disciplinary services within the field of compensation, including:

Traditional Consulting Services

- Surveys
- Peer group analyses/benchmarking
- Assess competitive markets
- Pay-for-performance analyses
- Advise on say-on-pay issues
- Pay ratio
- 280G golden parachute mitigation

Corporate Governance

- Implement "best practices"
- Advise Compensation Committee
- Risk assessments
- Grant practices & delegations
- Clawback policies
- Stock ownership guidelines
- Dodd-Frank

Securities/Disclosure

- Section 16 issues & compliance
- 10b5-1 trading plans
- Compliance with listing rules
- CD&A disclosure and related optics
- Sarbanes Oxley compliance
- Perquisite design/related disclosure
- Shareholder advisory services
- Activist shareholders
- Form 4s, S-8s & Form 8-Ks
- · Proxy disclosures

Design/Draft Plan

- Equity incentive plans
- Synthetic equity plans
- Long-term incentive plans
- Partnership profits interests
- Partnership blocker entities
- · Executive contracts
- Severance arrangements
- Deferred compensation plans
- Change-in-control plans/bonuses
- Employee stock purchase plans
- Employee stock ownership plans

Traditional Compensation Planning

- Section 83
- Section 409A
- Section 280G golden parachutes
- Deductibility under Section 162(m)
- ERISA, 401(k), pension plans
- Fringe benefit plans/arrangements
- Deferred compensation & SERPs
- Employment taxes
- Health & welfare plans, 125 plans

International Tax Planning

- Internationally mobile employees
- Expatriate packages
- Secondment agreements
- Global equity plans
- Analysis of applicable treaties
- Recharge agreements
- Data privacy





Expatriate

- An individual is typically hired by an employer in Country 1, and later assigned to work for the same employer/affiliate in Country 2
- The term expatriate is typically used to highlight that the employee is provided special treatment for the foreign assignment (though not all expatriates are covered by an employer's expatriate program or international assignment policy)

Secondment

- In a secondment scenario, the outbound employee is being seconded (i.e., loaned) to a foreign entity even though he or she remains the common law employee of the U.S. employer
- Generally, secondments involve the foreign entity being obligated to reimburse the U.S. employer for the compensation the U.S. employer pays to the outbound employee

Cross border employees

The individual lives in one taxing jurisdiction and works in a different taxing jurisdiction





- Home country
 - Generally refers to the country where the employee was working prior to the international assignment to the Host Country
- Host Country
 - Generally refers to the foreign country where the employee is assigned to work



Types of Foreign Assignments

- International assignments typically take one of the following forms:
 - Short-term or long-term assignments,
 - Rotational assignments,
 - Permanent moves, and
 - Mobile assignments (a.k.a., "global nomads" or "internationally mobile employees")
- The employment structure of an international assignment is typically one of the following:
 - Full secondment (paid and employed by the Home Country entity)
 - Partial secondment (paid by the Host Country entity and employed by the Home Country entity)
 - Localized/Transfer (the employee is terminated by the Home County entity and rehired by the Host Country entity)
 - Localized, but with an employment agreement with the Home Country entity that is hibernating (i.e., a springing employment agreement with the Home Country entity that is temporarily suspended during the foreign assignment)
 - Global Employment Company ("GEC") (the employee is terminated by the Home Country entity and transferred to the GEC, which in turn seconds the employee to the Host Country entity)
 - Dual employment (the employee maintains more than one employment relationship)

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH

Consider Whether to Adopt a Uniform Policy

- A U.S. company that intends to have more than a few employees assigned internationally will typically have a formal international assignment policy
 - A purpose of an international assignment policy is to avoid ongoing individualized negotiations, and to treat the group of internationally assigned employees in a uniform manner
 - Such policy would address many of the details of the intended assignment, including the various compensatory elements such as:
 - Base salary and cost-of-living allowances,
 - Housing allowance (e.g., housing differential or free housing),
 - Hardship allowance (i.e., to compensate executives who move to a Host Country with significant deterioration in living conditions, safety conditions, medical services, etc.),
 - > Reimbursement of expenses related to the sale or rental of a principal residence,
 - Automobile allowance,
 - Premium payment to compensate for the inconvenience related to the move (e.g., separation from family and friends),
 - An allowance to cover out-of-pocket expenses attributable to relocation to a new country (e.g., shipping personal belongings),
 - School tuition for the children of the executive.
 - Spousal support to help the executive's spouse integrate to the new Host Country (e.g., training in a new language, outplacement assistance, etc.), and
 - Some form of tax equalization (*i.e.*, an agreement that the executive would not pay more tax than he or she would have otherwise paid had he or she remained in the U.S.)



Foreign Assignment Agreement

- To ensure that all of the parties fully understand the nature of the arrangement, consider whether the outbound employee should execute a foreign assignment agreement with the U.S. company
 - And if the assignment is a secondment arrangement, the foreign assignment agreement could be signed by the executive, the U.S. company and the foreign entity
- The employee's job title and duties should be specifically set forth in this agreement to help avoid a successful claim of the Home Country having a permanent establishment in the Host Country
- The term of the assignment should be specified, including the start date, the ending date and any renewal provisions
 - Keep in mind that the number of days the employee spends in a Host Country could have tax implications



Foreign Assignment Agreement (cont.)

Immigration approval

 Ensure that the assignment is contingent upon approval by the Host Country with respect to any visa, work or residence permit

Repatriation

 Ensure the agreement details the costs and logistics associated with bringing the worker back to the Home Country upon conclusion of the assignment or upon a termination of the worker's employment

Other issues to consider

- Determine whether the agreement must be translated into the language of the Host Country
- For employees performing services both inside and outside the U.S., the agreement should identify and source what compensation is paid for services within and outside the U.S.
- The agreement should address tax return preparation by the employer's accountant
- If a secondment agreement is intended, ensure that the U.S. employer remains the common law employer of the secondee
- Whether any restrictive covenants would be enforced (i.e., the laws of the Host Country are typically applied)





- Secondment agreements help document the "loaning" of the employee from the Home Country entity to the Host Country entity
 - Generally, the loaned employee need not execute the secondment agreement
 - The Home Country entity would remain the common law employer of the secondee
- Address any prior or current offer letter or employment agreement
 - Any offer letter or employment agreement with the Home Country should be either expressly allowed for or superseded by the secondment agreement
- Address any termination of the assignment within the terms of the secondment agreement (e.g., terminations for cause, for Good Reason, etc.)
- Additional points to keep in mind that should be covered in most secondment agreements include:
 - Verify that the arrangement is a secondment and not some other form of expatriate assignment like a localization
 - To avoid misinterpretation in the future, be sure to identify the Home Country entity as the employer and that the Home Country entity retains control over the employment relationship
 - Describe how the secondment will end



Secondment Agreements: Who Is the Employer

- In the secondment situation, which entity is the "employer"?
 - The Home Country entity, or
 - The Host Country entity
- The answer (or best estimate) is found in the body of law that addresses misclassification of works (i.e., independent contractor or employee):
 - However, many of the factors enunciated by the IRS address the unrelated issue of whether the worker is an independent contractor
 - For example, the issue of "control" is an important issue with respect to whether the
 individual is an employee or independent contractor. But when asking the question
 of "who" is the employer, actual control is not necessary (i.e., all that is required is
 that the company have the right to control)
- To help ensure that the Home Country entity retains its status as the employer:
 - The underlying documentation should expressly provide that the Home Country entity will remain the employer
 - The Home Country should retain the right to review a secondee's performance, to effectuate discipline of the secondee, and to discharge the secondee's employment with the Home Country



Verify Application of Tax Treaties

- The U.S. has entered into numerous income tax treaties with other countries, a purpose of which is to minimize double taxation that could result when two countries consider an individual to be a tax resident of each of their countries
 - The tax treaty will usually contain a "tie-breaker" to determine which country has the right to tax the individual as a resident
 - The terms of each treaty vary from country to country
 - Thus, the terms of the applicable treaty (if any) should be reviewed
- Important to note is that tax treaties entered into by the U.S. and a Host Country often do not relieve the U.S. citizen from being subject to U.S. taxation
 - This is because the U.S. taxes its citizens on their worldwide income



Permanent Establishment

- The issue of permanent establishment arises when the Home Country sends an expatriate to a Host Country at a time when the Home Country entity has no corporate presence in the Host Country
 - As background, and as a gross oversimplification, the existence of a permanent establishment could provide the Host Country with the ability to tax the U.S. company's "deemed profits" that are attributable to that permanent establishment
 - A careful analysis of the applicable tax treaty (if any) between the Host Country and the U.S. is typically warranted
- Verify whether a tax treaty exists between the Home Country and the Host Country. If one exists, the issue of permanent establishment will be addressed within such treaty
- Elements that could give rise to the creation of a permanent establishment:
 - Having a fixed place of business in the foreign jurisdiction, and
 - Individuals that have the authority to negotiate and conclude contracts



Permanent Establishment (cont.)

- Ideas to help mitigate the creation of a permanent establishment include:
 - Contractually and operationally limit the ability of the individual to negotiate and conclude contracts on behalf of the Home Country entity; instead, the individual should only have an advisory or consultancy role
 - Use written agreements to document the relationship



Social Security Totalization

- As background, the U.S. has entered into totalization agreements with a number of countries for the purpose of avoiding double taxation of income with respect to social security taxes
 - There are approximately 25 countries to which the U.S. has totalization agreements
 - These agreements generally provide that the individual may continue on the Home Country's social security system
 - Typically limited to a period of 5 years
- The general purpose of a totalization agreement is to eliminate dual taxation from a social security perspective
 - These agreements are similar to a tax treaty
 - U.S. citizens are taxed on worldwide income, and in addition, such individuals working for a foreign affiliate of a U.S. employer would be subject to foreign social security taxes
 - However, the expatriate is likely to find that he or she will likely never contribute enough in that foreign jurisdiction to qualify for any benefits



Tax Equalization, Tax Protection or Other

- The purpose of a tax equalization program is to ensure the outbound employee will not pay more or less than he or she would have paid had he or she remained in the U.S.
- In other words, the outbound employee pays a tax equal to the amount that he
 or she would have paid had he or she remained in the U.S. throughout the time
 period in question (such is referred to as the "hypothetical tax")
 - At the end of the year, the employee's hypothetical tax is compared to his or her tax liability under both U.S. and foreign tax laws
 - If the U.S. and foreign tax liability is greater than the hypothetical tax, then the employee is reimbursed for the difference
 - However, if the employee's actual tax is less than the hypothetical tax, then the employee owes the U.S. employer the difference
- In a tax equalization program, the outbound employee has no benefit or burden associated with the foreign assignment
 - However, the cost to the employer is typically high
 - Some employers attempt to limit their exposure by imposing an annual limit on the amount of personal income covered by the tax equalization program



Tax Equalization, Tax Protection or Other (cont.)

- In contrast to a tax equalization program, a tax protection program only reimburses the employee if he or she has additional tax liability resulting from the assignment
 - This means the employee would benefit from any assignment where he or he moved from a high-tax rate country to a low-tax rate country
 - And the employee would remain neutral if he or she were assigned from a low-tax rate country to a high-tax rate country
- Generally, tax equalization programs are more popular than tax protection programs
 - The former allows the employer to offset increased taxes (due to employees being sent to a higher tax rate jurisdiction) with tax savings (due to employees being sent to a lower tax rate jurisdiction)
 - However, tax protection programs are generally preferred by employees, especially
 if such employee is moving from a high-tax rate country to a low-tax rate country



U.S. Tax-Qualified Retirement Plan

- Is the individual eligible to continue to participate in the U.S. employer's tax-qualified retirement plan? Compared to a foreign retirement plan, a U.S. retirement plan could offer certain tax advantages to the employee, including:
 - Pre-tax contributions,
 - No current U.S. income tax on employer contributions that are made to the plan on behalf of the employee,
 - No current U.S. income tax on earnings prior to distribution
 - Favorable U.S. tax treatment on distributions, such as tax-free rollovers to another qualified retirement plan or IRA
- U.S.-based employers can cover employees working overseas under the qualified retirement plan provided a number of rules are satisfied:
 - The "exclusive benefit rule" (the plan must be maintained for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the plan sponsor – i.e., who is the employer?)
 - As a result, controlled group rules apply
 - Otherwise, coverage could be attained under Section 406 (i.e., if the plan sponsor is an "American employer," then coverage could continue provided a number of requirements are satisfied)

Questions



- Is your company a multinational company competing for employees on global basis? If yes, then a number of questions arise, including:
 - Who is the employer?
 - Which countries are involved, will their laws apply, and are there tax treaties between the United States and such countries?
 - How long will the employee be in the foreign jurisdiction? Will the employee have more than one foreign jurisdiction within the same calendar year?
 - How should the employee be paid, and what issues arise if payment will be in the form of equity of the United States parent?
 - Will the employee be able to accrue employee benefits (e.g., retirement pay) while on the foreign assignment?
 - How will the employee be hired, on-boarded and trained?
 - Will there be a few, many, or a lot of internationally mobile employees (i.e., employees who perform services throughout the year in more than one country)
 - Due to the mobile nature of the employee, it is difficult for the employee to accrue social benefits since he or she is not present in one specific jurisdiction for the requisite period of time
- If the employer has a borderless workforce, then the creation of a global employment company (a "GEC") could work to the advantage of both the employer and the internationally mobile employees





- A GEC is part of the multinational company's corporate structure, operating as a separate legal entity, and is typically located in a tax-advantaged location
- The general structure is:
 - The Home Country employer terminates the employee and such employee is then rehired by the GEC
 - The primary purpose of the GEC is to become the new Home Country employer from which the employee will be seconded to other Host Country employers
 - As a result, the GEC typically:
 - Becomes the permanent legal employer of the mobile employee (i.e., hire and fire, make compensation decisions, benefits, etc.),
 - Administers the global assignments and secondments,
 - Acts as the payroll company,
 - > Provides efficient HR technologies and process systems, and
 - Can manage the intercompany recharge agreements





- General upsides of a GEC includes:
 - The adoption of an international uniform structure for its global workforce (e.g., income tax, standardized benefits, standardized compensation, coordination of social security participation, etc.), applied either globally or on a region-by-region basis
 - A GEC acts as a means to centralize employment and administration
 - Ability to serve as the vehicle to hire and pay employees who come from locations where the multinational company does not otherwise have a legal entity
 - Potential PE exposure is centralized and contained to the GEC (i.e., the traditional Home Country employer should not have exposure to the issue)

Location of the GEC



- Typically GECs are located in jurisdictions with little corporate income tax or jurisdictions with robust tax treaty protections. Other considerations in picking a location include:
 - Social security agreements
 - Are the country's laws employer favorable?
 - Does the jurisdiction have a regulated environment?
 - Local talent
 - Does the jurisdiction have economic stability
- According to a PwC study, some of the most favorable locations for a GEC include:
 - The U.S. (for U.S.-based companies),
 - The UK,
 - The UAE,
 - Singapore,
 - Cayman Islands,
 - Ireland,
 - Netherlands,
 - Switzerland,
 - Seychelles,
 - Mauritius, and
 - Malaysia

Don't Forget Next Month's Webinar



- Title:
 - Training Course on Designing an Equity Incentive Plan
- When:
 - 10:00 am to 11:00 am Central
 - June 10, 2021

^{© 2020} Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Attorney advertising materials. These materials have been prepared for informational purposes only and are not legal advice. This presentation may not be reproduced without prior written consent from Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Hunton Andrews Kurth, the Hunton Andrews Kurth logo, HuntonAK and the HuntonAK logo are service marks of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Contact: Walfrido J. Martinez, Managing Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 202.955.1500. Receipt of these materials does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.