

## Lawyer Insights

### Key Points For Lenders Taking Control Of Oil And Gas Assets

By Timothy Davidson, Michael O'Leary and Harve Truskett  
Published in Law360 | August 10, 2020



The combination of global oversupply and the demand destruction caused by COVID-19 recently pushed the price of oil to its lowest level in decades. Exploration and production companies of all sizes and from every producing basin are fighting for survival — and their lenders are becoming increasingly concerned about their credit exposure.

As these companies' production and revenues continue to fall, banks, funds and other lenders are also seeing the value of their reserve-based collateral plummet, and several recent bankruptcies have left senior secured reserve-based lenders with pennies on the dollar. The prospect of taking substantial losses on reserve-based loans is forcing lenders to consider an unconventional approach to protecting their interests: taking ownership of the oil and gas assets that comprise the collateral package.

By taking ownership of oil and gas assets through foreclosure, credit bid or other remedy, lenders can control operations, collect revenue and hold the assets until prices improve and market conditions provide an opportunity to exit. The following are factors for lenders to consider before assuming ownership of distressed oil and gas assets.

#### Charter, Governance and Regulatory Restrictions

A lender should determine whether owning and operating oil and gas assets is permitted by the lender's charter or formation documents, and by applicable law. Federal law prohibits national banking associations from holding real property interests for a period of longer than five years, subject to a waiver from the U.S. [Office of the Comptroller of the Currency](#).

Lenders should also determine the appropriate ownership and governance structure for the oil and gas assets. A special purpose entity is typically formed to hold the assets; however, the lender's existing corporate structure laws applicable to the oil and gas assets should be carefully evaluated before selecting the type and structure of the entity.

#### Lien Analysis and Due Diligence

It is imperative for a lender to determine the validity and priority of its liens on the borrower's assets. A lien analysis is an in-depth review of the debtor's assets, and the liens that encumber those assets, that should be performed at the outset to help determine the best strategy moving forward.

The language of the applicable credit facility, and of the loan and security documents, must be carefully

This article presents the views of the authors, which do not necessarily reflect those of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP or its clients. The information presented is for general information and education purposes. No legal advice is intended to be conveyed; readers should consult with legal counsel with respect to any legal advice they require related to the subject matter of the article. Receipt of this article does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome. Attorney advertising.

## **Key Points For Lenders Taking Control Of Oil And Gas Assets**

By Timothy Davidson, Michael O'Leary and Harve Truskett  
Law360 | August 10, 2020

examined to determine the effectiveness of after-acquired property language and other catchall provisions for assets not expressly described in the mortgages and other security documents.

The lien analysis also helps determine a lender's lien priority with respect to other creditors, vendors and materialmen, and it provides the framework for assigning value to the unencumbered assets. The value of unencumbered assets and existence of potentially senior liens could be important in determining strategy, and could affect the acquisition cost.

In addition to typical buy-side due diligence, a lender also needs to become familiar with its borrower's operations, and understand the contractual relationships that facilitate the flow of hydrocarbon molecules from the applicable formation(s) to the final point of sale. Understanding these agreements allows the lender to identify ongoing rights and obligations, and determine which contracts are material to operations on a go-forward basis.

In bankruptcy, contracts are considered executory contracts if material obligations of both the debtor and contract counterparty remain unperformed. Typically, when assets are sold in bankruptcy, there is a corresponding process whereby potential buyers, including a lender pursuant to a credit bid, may select executory contracts or unexpired leases to assume as part of the acquisition.

In order for a debtor to assume an executory contract or unexpired lease, it must cure all defaults, including monetary defaults through payment of outstanding amounts (commonly referred to as cure costs), and provide adequate assurance of future performance. Cure costs can substantially increase the acquisition cost of the assets, and settlement negotiations can provide valuable insight into future relationships with counterparties to such assumed executory contracts or unexpired leases. These considerations are important when the lenders are capitalizing the special purpose entity in which they will hold the oil and gas assets after acquiring the assets.

Any executory contract or unexpired lease not selected for assumption will remain with the debtor, and the assets will be transferred free and clear of any obligations or claims relating to contracts that are not assumed and assigned. It should be noted that assets that are subject to contracts containing real covenants or covenants running with the land — such as certain acreage dedications in midstream gathering and transportation agreements — cannot be transferred free and clear of such real covenants or covenants running with the land.

### **Funding the Acquisition**

First lienholders are generally in an advantageous position to acquire the debtor's assets, due to their ability to use the debt owed to them as credit toward the acquisition cost of the assets, through a process known as a credit bid. As previously mentioned, however, the lien analysis could reflect that a lender's lien may not attach to all of the debtor's assets, and the liens of competing creditors, vendors and materialmen could prime the lender's lien.

As a result, a lender may be required to include a cash payment in addition to its credit bid to settle and account for cure costs, acquire valuable unmortgaged assets and satisfy liens with higher priority. The lender will also need to ensure that the special purpose entity has sufficient capital to fund operations and comply with regulatory requirements, including ongoing environmental and decommissioning obligations.

### **Operations**

## **Key Points For Lenders Taking Control Of Oil And Gas Assets**

By Timothy Davidson, Michael O'Leary and Harve Truskett  
Law360 | August 10, 2020

A lender must be prepared to operate the assets or, more likely, cause the assets to be operated on behalf of the lender in a manner that will protect its investment. However, upon closing of the transaction to acquire the assets, the lender may not yet have the financial assurance and regulatory authorizations and qualifications required to own and operate oil and gas assets.

Regulatory agencies often take several weeks to approve these items, and prospective bidders generally wait to start the approval process until definitive acquisition agreements have been executed. A transition services agreement provides for continued operation of the assets by the seller for the duration of the transition period, which is typically 30 to 120 days after closing.

The transition services agreement provides the terms and conditions pursuant to which the seller will continue to operate the assets on behalf of the buyer. The transition services are performed under the seller's existing permits and authorizations, and the buyer is typically required to indemnify the seller from damages stemming from such operations, subject to traditional carveouts.

The lender must also make arrangements to operate the assets on a long-term basis after the transition period. Financial institutions and funds are not in the business of operating oil and gas assets on a day-to-day basis, so skilled operating companies are typically engaged to manage operations pursuant to a contract operating agreement.

These agreements are heavily negotiated to ensure that the parties are aligned, by incentivizing the operator while providing the lender with its desired level of oversight and insulation from operational liability. The current industry downturn has affected both good and bad operators. In situations where a borrower's financial troubles stem purely from external factors rather than operational or management issues, the existing management team may make a good contract operator.

If the lender desires to start fresh with a new management team, layoffs from large companies and other restructurings have resulted in the formation of numerous groups of contract operators that are looking for their next opportunity. By negotiating with multiple potential contract operators, a lender may be able to obtain more favorable terms in the contract operating agreement.

### **Exit Strategy**

Prior to taking initial steps toward acquiring its borrower's assets, a lender should work with financial advisers to estimate the total amount of capital that will be required to acquire and operate the assets, and develop an exit strategy based on projected commodity prices.

In the event these estimates and projections present a risk profile that is acceptable to the lender, they will continue to serve as guidelines to keep operations and expenses on track. If the lender or its financial advisers do not have the ability to market the assets, it may also be prudent to engage an investment bank or broker to market the assets and provide advice with respect to potential opportunities and the lender's exit strategy.

# HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH

## **Key Points For Lenders Taking Control Of Oil And Gas Assets**

By Timothy Davidson, Michael O'Leary and Harve Truskett  
Law360 | August 10, 2020

**Timothy “Tad” Davidson** is a partner in the firm’s bankruptcy and restructuring group in the firm’s Houston office. Tad’s practice includes representing parties in out-of-court and bankruptcy court financial restructurings. He can be reached at +1 (713) 220-3810 or [taddavidson@HuntonAK.com](mailto:taddavidson@HuntonAK.com).

**Mike O’Leary** is a partner in the firm’s capital markets group in the firm’s Houston office. Mike has an extensive corporate securities and mergers and acquisitions practice that is focused on the energy industry. He can be reached at +1 (713) 220-4360 or [moleary@HuntonAK.com](mailto:moleary@HuntonAK.com).

**Harve Truskett** is a partner in the firm’s oil & gas group in the firm’s Houston office. Harve’s practice spans a broad range of energy transactions, though he has extensive experience in transactions involving oil and gas assets in the major United States unconventional plays. He can be reached at +1 (713) 220-4532 or [harvetruskett@HuntonAK.com](mailto:harvetruskett@HuntonAK.com).

*The opinions expressed are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the firm, its clients, or Portfolio Media Inc., or any of its or their respective affiliates. This article is for general information purposes and is not intended to be and should not be taken as legal advice.*