

Public Companies and ESOPs: Check Yes or No

Presentation for:
Executive Compensation Webinar Series
July 9, 2020

Presentation by:
Anthony J. Eppert
AnthonyEppert@HuntonAK.com
713.220.4276

Housekeeping: Technical Issues and Questions

- Questions during this presentation
 - We encourage questions (even though your audio lines are muted)
 - To submit a question, simply type the question in the blank field on the bottom of the menu bar and press return
 - If time permits, your questions will be answered at the end of this presentation. And if there is insufficient time, the speaker will respond to you via e-mail shortly after this presentation

- Recording
 - This presentation is being recorded for internal purposes only
- Continuing education credits
 - A purpose of the webinar series is to provide FREE CE credits
 - To that end, each presentation is intended to provide 1 credit hour in the following areas:
 - CLE: 1 credit hour (CA, FL, GA, NC, NY, TX and VA)
 - CPE: 1 credit hour (Texas)
 - HRCI: This activity has been approved for 1 (HR (General)) recertification credit hours toward California, GPHR, PHRI, SPHRi, PHR, and SPHR recertification through the HR Certification Institute
 - SHRM: This program is valid for 1 PDC for the SHRM-CPSM or SHRM-SCPSM
 - If you have any questions relating to CE credits, please direct them to Anthony Eppert at AnthonyEppert@HuntonAK.com or 713.220.4276
- Disclaimer
 - This presentation is intended for informational and educational purposes only, and cannot be relied upon as legal advice
 - Any assumptions used in this presentation are for illustrative purposes only
 - No attorney-client relationship is created due to your attending this presentation or due to your receipt of program materials

About Anthony “Tony” Eppert



Anthony Eppert , Partner
Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP

Tel: +1.713.220.4276

Email: AnthonyEppert@HuntonAK.com

- Tony practices in the areas of executive compensation and employee benefits

- Before entering private practice, Tony:
 - Served as a judicial clerk to the Hon. Richard F. Suhrheinrich of the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit
 - Obtained his LL.M. (Taxation) from New York University
 - Obtained his J.D. (Tax Concentration) from Michigan State University College of Law
 - Editor-in-Chief, Journal of Medicine and Law
 - President, Tax and Estate Planning Society

Upcoming 2020 Webinars

- 2020 webinars:
 - Compensation Committee Governance (08/13/2020)
 - Preparing for Proxy Season: Start Now (Annual Program) (09/10/2020)
 - How to Design Effective Total Shareholder Return Awards (10/08/2020)
 - Building a Compensatory Peer Group: A Step-by-Step Approach (11/12/2020)
 - Employment Taxes: The 101 Course (12/10/2020)

- 2021 webinars:
 - 12-month agenda coming soon!!

- Sign up here: <https://www.huntonak.com/en/insights/executive-compensation-webinar-schedule.html>

Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart

- Compensation issues are complex, especially for publicly-traded issuers, and involve substantive areas of:
 - Tax,
 - Securities,
 - Accounting,
 - Governance,
 - Surveys, and
 - Human resources

- Historically, compensation issues were addressed using multiple service providers, including:
 - Tax lawyers,
 - Securities/corporate lawyers,
 - Labor & employment lawyers,
 - Accountants, and
 - Survey consultants

Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart (cont.)

- The members of our Compensation Practice Group are multi-disciplinary within the various substantive areas of compensation. As multi-disciplinary practitioners, we take a holistic and full-service approach to compensation matters that considers all substantive areas of compensation



Our Compensation Practice – What Sets Us Apart (cont.)

- Our Compensation Practice Group provides a variety of multi-disciplinary services within the field of compensation, including:

Traditional Consulting Services

- Surveys
- Peer group analyses/benchmarking
- Assess competitive markets
- Pay-for-performance analyses
- Advise on say-on-pay issues
- Pay ratio
- 280G golden parachute mitigation

Corporate Governance

- Implement “best practices”
- Advise Compensation Committee
- Risk assessments
- Grant practices & delegations
- Clawback policies
- Stock ownership guidelines
- Dodd-Frank

Securities/Disclosure

- Section 16 issues & compliance
- 10b5-1 trading plans
- Compliance with listing rules
- CD&A disclosure and related optics
- Sarbanes Oxley compliance
- Perquisite design/related disclosure
- Shareholder advisory services
- Activist shareholders
- Form 4s, S-8s & Form 8-Ks
- Proxy disclosures

Design/Draft Plan

- Equity incentive plans
- Synthetic equity plans
- Long-term incentive plans
- Partnership profits interests
- Partnership blocker entities
- Executive contracts
- Severance arrangements
- Deferred compensation plans
- Change-in-control plans/bonuses
- Employee stock purchase plans
- Employee stock ownership plans

Traditional Compensation Planning

- Section 83
- Section 409A
- Section 280G golden parachutes
- Deductibility under Section 162(m)
- ERISA, 401(k), pension plans
- Fringe benefit plans/arrangements
- Deferred compensation & SERPs
- Employment taxes
- Health & welfare plans, 125 plans

International Tax Planning

- Internationally mobile employees
- Expatriate packages
- Secondment agreements
- Global equity plans
- Analysis of applicable treaties
- Recharge agreements
- Data privacy

Introduction

- The purpose of this presentation is to discuss the pros and cons associated with a publicly-traded company (“**PubCo**”) sponsoring an employee stock ownership plan (an “**ESOP**”)
- To that end, this presentation will focus on the related administrative and transactional design issues, including:
 - What is an ESOP,
 - The advantages and disadvantages associated with PubCo sponsoring an ESOP,
 - Typical fiduciary structures that can help to limit or contain fiduciary liability,
 - Incorporating ESOPs into PubCo’s “poison pill” analysis,
 - Diversification requirements,
 - Whether a Form S-8 (and related Form 11-K) is required or advisable, and
 - Pass-through voting issues

Background: What Is an ESOP

- An ESOP is a tax-qualified retirement plan, similar to a 401(k) plan except that an ESOP is required to invest primarily in employer stock
- An ESOP is subject to most of the rules applicable to qualified retirement plans, including:
 - Nondiscrimination and coverage testing,
 - Fiduciary obligations, and
 - Reporting and disclosure requirements
- Other ESOP thoughts for PubCo to consider include:
 - It can facilitate a tax-advantaged means for PubCo to finance the expansion of a business unit (*i.e.*, contributions are tax deductible, and payment of dividends to an ESOP are tax deductible),
 - It provides equity to rank-and-file employees without having to seek prior shareholder approval for the grant of shares (*i.e.*, an ESOP falls within an exception to the shareholder approval requirements under NYSE and NASDAQ listing rules),
 - An ESOP is a less risky alternative than having employer stock within PubCo's employer-sponsored 401(k) plan

Background: Advantages (Not an Exhaustive List)

- If properly communicated, an ESOP creates an ownership culture that should increase employee morale and increase profitability
- Help PubCo defend against a hostile takeover
 - Studies show that employees vote favorably with management when a possible hostile takeover exists because employees fear a takeover would result in loss of jobs
 - Voting rights of allocated shares would be passed through to ESOP participants in tender offer situations
 - A mirror provision could be implemented whereby the ESOP trustee would vote unallocated ESOP shares in the same manner/percentage as allocated shares were voted by ESOP participants (though the ESOP trustee would not be required to follow the mirror provision if fiduciary duties dictate otherwise)
- Shareholder approval is not required to implement an ESOP
 - NYSE and NASDAQ listing rules generally provide that plans intended to satisfy the requirements of Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code (the “**Code**”) are exempt from the shareholder approval requirements
 - ISS voting policies favor ESOPs
 - This means that if PubCo otherwise provides equity grants deep into the employee pool, and such PubCo has difficulty obtaining shareholder approval to increase the share reserve of its equity incentive plan, then PubCo could change its strategy to:
 - Eliminate grants from the equity incentive plan to rank-and-file employees, and
 - Replace such grants with an ESOP

Background: Disadvantages (Not an Exhaustive List)

- Existing shareholders are diluted to the extent contributions of newly issued stock is granted by PubCo to an ESOP
 - Though for such companies dilution can be avoided to the extent PubCo contributes cash to the ESOP for the latter to fund purchases of employer stock in the open market
- As a retirement plan subject to ERISA, certain fiduciary duties apply at the time of implementing an ESOP and throughout its operation
- Initial and ongoing costs of setting up and maintaining an ESOP
 - Legal and consulting fees,
 - Employee communications,
 - Participant recordkeeping, and
 - Annual valuations

Background: Participation and Allocation

- Generally, all full-time employees over the age of 21 with one year of service would be eligible to participate in the ESOP (as opposed to a select group of executives), however, PubCo may exclude:
 - Independent contractors,
 - Non-resident aliens,
 - Employees participating in collective bargaining units, and
 - Certain others (provided applicable testing is satisfied)

- Allocations to individual employee accounts are generally made on the basis of relative pay or some more equal formula

Background: Participant Vesting

- A participant becomes 100% vested in his or her account upon attaining normal retirement age (e.g., age 65) or upon a partial or complete termination of the ESOP trust
 - Also, PubCo may design the ESOP to accelerate vesting upon a participant's disability or death (and possibly upon a change in control)
- For all other instances, a vesting schedule would apply to individual participant accounts, which could be no more stringent than:
 - No vesting until the participant completes 3 years of service, then 100% vested, or
 - Six-year graded vesting in accordance with the following:

<u>Years of Service</u>	<u>Nonforfeitable %</u>
Less than 2 years	0%
2 years	20%
3 years	40%
4 years	60%
5 years	80%
6 or more years	100%

- Generally, the portion of a participant's account that remains unvested as of his or her termination of employment with PubCo is forfeited
 - Forfeitures are reallocated among remaining participants in accordance with a set allocation schedule

Background: Voting Rights

- As to shares allocated to a participant's account, participants would have full voting rights on all issues subject to shareholder vote
 - Noteworthy is that receipt of an unsolicited tender offer would not require a shareholder meeting

- Addressing unallocated shares, voting rights would generally be exercised by the trustee
 - However, the ESOP document could provide that unallocated shares would be voted by the trustee in the same proportion as participants direct the voting of allocated shares (a "mirror provision"), subject to fiduciary duties

- To implement a defense against hostile takeovers, the ESOP could be designed to pass through voting rights of allocated shares to participants in tender offer situations
 - A mirror provision would address the trustee's decision to vote the unallocated shares

Background: Diversification Requirement

- For ESOPs sponsored by PubCos, the diversification requirements are different depending upon whether the stock represents employee contributions, matching contributions or only PubCo contributions
- Generally, if the ESOP has no employee contributions and no matching contributions, participants who first attain 55 years of age with 10 years of service must be allowed to diversify up to 25% of their account for the next 6 years (and the percentage is up to 50% for the 6th year) :
 - Alternatives for divesting out of PubCo stock include:
 - Transfer to a 401(k) plan
 - Distribute to the participant
 - Offer at least 3 investment options within the ESOP
- Generally, if the ESOP has employee contributions invested in PubCo stock, then the participant must be able to diversify out of PubCo stock and reinvest in other investment alternatives at least quarterly
- Generally, if the ESOP has PubCo matching contributions in PubCo stock, then once the participant has 3 years of service with PubCo such participant must be able to diversify out of PubCo stock and reinvest in other investment alternatives at least quarterly

Limiting Fiduciary Liability: Who Is a Fiduciary

- Under ERISA, a person is generally a fiduciary to the extent:
 - Such person is named in the ERISA plan document and is provided discretionary authority or responsibility over the administration of the ERISA plan (the “*Named Fiduciary*”),
 - Typically, the Retirement Plan Committee or Benefits Committee will be the Named Fiduciary for the ESOP
 - Such person exercises any discretionary authority or control respecting administration of the ERISA plan, or
 - Such person exercises any authority or control respecting management or disposition of the assets of the ERISA plan

Limiting Fiduciary Liability: Who Is a Fiduciary (cont.)

- Qualified retirement plans such as an ESOP generally have more than one fiduciary (e.g., officers, human resources, etc.)
- An important point for a plan sponsor to keep in mind is that, with proper planning, fiduciary liability for a particular individual or group can be localized to certain acts
 - A person is a fiduciary “. . . only as to the activities which bring the person within the definition.” See *Coleman v. National Life Ins. Co.*, 969 F.2d 54, 61 (4th Cir. 1992)
 - However, for example, if one or more persons have responsibility to approve recommendations made by others with respect to investment alternatives, then such persons will likely be considered fiduciaries with respect to investment decisions. See *Yeseta v. Baima*, 837 F.3d 380 (9th Cir. 1988)

Limiting Fiduciary Liability: Who Is NOT a Fiduciary

- Under ERISA, the following individuals are generally not a fiduciary:
 - Attorneys, accountants and actuaries;
 - Insurance agents;
 - Consultants; and
 - Other individuals who provide services to the ERISA plan, but who otherwise do not have discretionary authority or control over the management, assets or administration of the ERISA plan

Consequences for Breach of Fiduciary Duty

- Personal liability
 - Restore losses to the plan resulting from the breach,
 - Restore to the plan any profits made by the fiduciary through use of plan assets, and
 - Other equitable and remedial relief

- Other liability includes:
 - Criminal liability for “willful” violations (*i.e.*, a general intent standard, knowingly and intentionally committing the act is all that is required, and intention to violate the law is not required), and
 - DOL penalty of 20% of the applicable recovery amount

- Protecting the purse?
 - Fiduciary liability insurance
 - D&O indemnity and/or a company reimbursement policy

Fiduciary Duties

- Fiduciary obligations are among the highest known to law
- Fiduciaries are generally subject to the following standards (not an exhaustive list):
 - They must act for the exclusive benefit of providing benefits and defraying reasonable expenses of administering the ERISA plan (*i.e.*, the duty of loyalty)
 - Important note with respect to fiduciaries wearing multiple hats (*i.e.*, having multiple positions within the employer) is that satisfying the duty owed as an ERISA fiduciary is primary and comes before any duty owed to the employer as an institution
 - They must act with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances that a prudent person with similar capacity would use (*i.e.*, the duty of prudence), applied using an expert standard (*i.e.*, if the fiduciary lacks the requisite expertise, then the fiduciary is required to hire such expertise);
 - They must act in accordance with the plan documents;
 - They must act to diversify the ERISA plan's assets, unless doing so would be imprudent; and
 - They must not knowingly participate in or conceal the breach of a co-fiduciary
- A fiduciary has a duty not to mislead or misinform participants
 - This means that fiduciaries have an affirmative duty to disclose information to plan participants when the fiduciary knows that his or her silence could be harmful to participants

Fiduciary Duties (cont.)

- Important to note: functions that are “settlor” in nature are typically not subject to fiduciary restraint
 - This allows an employer, as the settlor, to make fundamental business decisions without being restricted by fiduciary duties
 - Settlor functions pertain to decisions with respect to establishing and maintaining a plan. Fiduciary functions pertain to implementing the settlor decisions and administering and managing the plan
 - Examples of settlor functions include establishing, designing, amending or terminating an ERISA plan and employing agents
 - Examples of fiduciary functions include adopt and enforce procedural rules for the plan, review claims for benefits, maintain records required by ERISA, interpret plan provisions

- If an individual’s powers are limited to appointing, retaining and removing a fiduciary, then such individual’s fiduciary liability could be limited to only a failure-to-monitor claim

- As applied to members of the Board of Directors, and to help limit their liability, such Board would delegate its authority with respect to the ESOP to an individual (e.g., the Director of HR), and then have that individual further delegate its authority to a benefits committee
 - Such should limit the board’s liability to a “failure to monitor” claim, if any; and
 - Should insulate the Board of Directors from the determination of “who” should be on the benefits committee

Fiduciary Exception to the Attorney Client Privilege

- The general rule is that discussions between a lawyer and his or her client are privileged. This is known as the attorney-client privilege
- One exception to the general rule applies to communications between a lawyer and an ERISA fiduciary
 - In the ERISA context the identity of the client is questionable
 - Fiduciaries do not act on behalf of themselves, they act on behalf of participants
 - Courts have generally held that the true “client” is the participants
 - Therefore, the attorney-client privilege could not be used against the participants
- This means ERISA fiduciaries cannot assert the privilege against plan participants and beneficiaries. And, ERISA fiduciaries are prohibited from asserting the privilege with respect to communications on plan administration matters
- However, the privilege should continue to apply to settlor functions or any other non-fiduciary function

A Typical Fiduciary Structure

- The Board of Directors established the ESOP and in conjunction with the same (or later) delegates its duties to the [Benefits Committee]
 - As a result, the Board of Directors should only have a duty to monitor the [Benefits Committee]
 - The [Benefits Committee] would be specifically designated within the ESOP as the “plan administrator” and the “named fiduciary” within the ESOP documents, and would have the duty to monitor operational fiduciaries of the ESOP (operational fiduciaries generally include those who have authority to make investment decisions for the ESOP)

- And too, but slightly different, PubCo could create two committees for the purpose of:
 - Having any Section 16 insiders residing on the operational committee, and
 - Having a separate committee with no Section 16 insiders reside on the committee empowered with the investment decisions under the ESOP

Don't Forget Next Month's Webinar

- Title:
 - Compensation Committee Governance

- When:
 - 10:00 am to 11:00 am Central
 - August 13, 2020

© 2020 Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Attorney advertising materials. These materials have been prepared for informational purposes only and are not legal advice. This presentation may not be reproduced without prior written consent from Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Hunton Andrews Kurth, the Hunton Andrews Kurth logo, HuntonAK and the HuntonAK logo are service marks of Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP. Contact: Walfrido J. Martinez, Managing Partner, Hunton Andrews Kurth LLP, 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC, 202.955.1500. Receipt of these materials does not constitute an attorney-client relationship. Prior results do not guarantee a similar outcome.