The Banking Law Journal

Established 1889

An A.S. Pratt[™] PUBLICATION

FEBRUARY 2020

EDITOR'S NOTE: THE END OF LIBOR Victoria Prussen Spears

TREASURY DEPARTMENT, IRS ISSUE PROPOSED RULES ON TAX IMPACT OF TRANSITION FROM LIBOR Douglas I. Youngman and Christopher Fiore Marotta

CFTC JURISDICTION OVER CRYPTOCURRENCY: IMPLICATIONS FOR INDUSTRY PARTICIPANTS Era Anagnosti, Edward So, Pratin Vallabhaneni, Alexander Abedine, and Christen Boas Hayes

CFPB DENIES BANK OF AMERICA'S REQUEST TO QUASH PROBE INTO UNAUTHORIZED CONSUMER ACCOUNT OPENINGS J.H. Jennifer Lee, Susan Tran, and Julia E. Johnson

NCUA ISSUES GUIDANCE ON BANKING HEMP Heather Archer Eastep and Richard S. Garabedian

CREDIT MARKETS SEEK TO LIMIT THE INFLUENCE OF NET SHORT LENDERS Jason Ulezalka and Rob Evans

130 BANKS COMMIT TO UN PRINCIPLES FOR RESPONSIBLE BANKING Paul A. Davies and Michael D. Green

EUROPEAN CMBS 2.0: HOW SUSTAINABILITY IS THE FUTURE OF THE PRODUCT Chris McGarry, Tom Falkus, Adam Farrell, and Victoria Speers

REVIEWING ZHENGYANG BEARING: FRAUD RULE, MITIGATION, AND PARTIAL PAYMENT IN THE DAMAGES FOR LETTER OF CREDIT WRONGFUL DISHONOR Wang Jingen



THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL

VOLUME 137	NUMBER 2	February 2020
Editor's Note: The End of Victoria Prussen Spears	f Libor	53
Treasury Department, IRS Libor	S Issue Proposed Rules on Tax Impact of	Transition from
Douglas I. Youngman and C	Christopher Fiore Marotta	56
	Cryptocurrency: Implications for	
Industry Participants Era Anagnosti, Edward So, Christen Boas Hayes	Pratin Vallabhaneni, Alexander Abedine, an	d 63
CFPB Denies Bank of Am Unauthorized Consumer J.H. Jennifer Lee, Susan Tr		70
NCUA Issues Guidance of Heather Archer Eastep and		75
Credit Markets Seek to L Jason Ulezalka and Rob Ev	imit the Influence of Net Short Lenders	79
130 Banks Commit to UN Paul A. Davies and Michae	Principles for Responsible Banking D. Green	83
	w Sustainability Is the Future of the Prod s, Adam Farrell, and Victoria Speers	uct 86
	<i>uring</i> : Fraud Rule, Mitigation, and Partial for Letter of Credit Wrongful Dishonor	91



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or replease call:	print permission,		
Matthew T. Burke at	(800) 252-9257		
Email: matthew.t.burke@lexisnexis.co			
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(973) 820-2000		
For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:			
Customer Services Department at	(800) 833-9844		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(518) 487-3385		
Fax Number	(800) 828-8341		
Customer Service Website http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/			
For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call			
Your account manager or	(800) 223-1940		
Outside the United States and Canada, please call	(937) 247-0293		

ISBN: 978-0-7698-7878-2 (print) ISSN: 0005-5506 (Print) Cite this publication as:

The Banking Law Journal (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is designed to provide authoritative information in regard to the subject matter covered. It is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of RELX Inc. Matthew Bender, the Matthew Bender Flame Design, and A.S. Pratt are registered trademarks of Matthew Bender Properties Inc.

Copyright © 2020 Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., a member of LexisNexis. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis or Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

> BOARD OF EDITORS JAMES F. BAUERLE Keevican Weiss Bauerle & Hirsch LLC

BARKLEY CLARK Partner, Stinson Leonard Street LLP

> MICHAEL J. HELLER Partner, Rivkin Radler LLP

SATISH M. KINI Partner, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

DOUGLAS LANDY Partner, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP

PAUL L. LEE Of Counsel, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP

GIVONNA ST. CLAIR LONG Partner, Kelley Drye & Warren LLP

TIMOTHY D. NAEGELE Partner, Timothy D. Naegele & Associates

STEPHEN J. NEWMAN Partner, Stroock & Stroock & Lavan LLP

> **DAVID RICHARDSON** Partner, Dorsey & Whitney

STEPHEN T. SCHREINER *Partner, Goodwin Procter LLP*

ELIZABETH C. YEN Partner, Hudson Cook, LLP THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL (ISBN 978-0-76987-878-2) (USPS 003-160) is published ten times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Periodicals Postage Paid at Washington, D.C., and at additional mailing offices. Copyright 2020 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form—by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise—or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For customer support, please contact LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 1275 Broadway, Albany, NY 12204 or e-mail Customer.Support@lexisnexis.com. Direct any editorial inquiries and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway, #18R, Floral Park. NY 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, 646.539.8300. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to bankers, officers of financial institutions, and their attorneys. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 230 Park Ave, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169.

POSTMASTER: Send address changes to THE BANKING LAW JOURNAL, A.S. Pratt & Sons, 805 Fifteenth Street, NW., Third Floor, Washington, DC 20005-2207.

NCUA Issues Guidance on Banking Hemp

Heather Archer Eastep and Richard S. Garabedian*

Navigating the hemp landscape can be accomplished through effective risk management. Doing so, however, will require adjustments and tailoring within a credit union's compliance management system and agreements with customers prior to commencing the activity to effectively manage the risk. This article explains the National Credit Union Administration guidance for all federally insured credit unions that choose to serve hemp-related businesses.

The National Credit Union Administration ("NCUA") has issued guidance for all federally insured credit unions that choose to serve hemp-related businesses. As a result of the Agricultural Improvement Act of 2018 (the "2018 Farm Bill"), hemp is no longer a controlled substance at the federal level. The 2018 Farm Bill removed hemp from Schedule I of the Controlled Substances Act and reclassified it as an agricultural commodity, so long as its products do not have more than the federally allowed level of 0.3 percent tetrahydrocannabinol ("THC"). THC is the psychoactive compound providing the "high" in marijuana.

BACKGROUND

Hemp could not be produced legally under federal law, unless it was produced under the industrial hemp pilot provisions of the Agricultural Act of 2014 (the "2014 Farm Bill"). For hemp production to be legal under federal law beyond the 2014 Farm Bill pilot, the U.S. Department of Agriculture ("USDA") was required to promulgate regulations and guidelines to implement the hemp production provisions of the 2018 Farm Bill. The USDA issued an interim final rule in October 2019 addressing the rules for the production of hemp under the 2018 Farm Bill. The NCUA noted it will issue additional guidance once those regulations are promulgated.

With the enactment of the 2018 Farm Bill and the USDA implementing regulations, hemp may be grown only with a valid USDA-issued license under a USDA-approved state or tribal plan, or under the 2014 Farm Bill industrial

^{*} Heather Archer Eastep is a partner at Hunton Andrews Kurth focusing on corporate and regulatory representation of small to large regional and national financial institution franchises. Richard S. Garabedian is counsel at the firm representing banks, savings institutions, credit unions, and holding companies on a nationwide basis. The authors may be reached at heastep@huntonak.com and rgarabedian@huntonak.com, respectively.

The Banking Law Journal

hemp pilot authority. The pilot authority will expire one year after the USDA establishes a plan for issuing USDA licenses under the provisions of the 2018 Farm Bill. The 2018 Farm Bill preserves the authority of states and Indian tribes to enact and enforce laws regulating the production of hemp that are more stringent than federal law. Thus, while a state or an Indian tribe cannot block the shipment of hemp through that state or tribal territory, it may continue to enforce state or tribal laws prohibiting the growing of hemp in that state or tribal territory.

NCUA GUIDANCE

The NCUA guidance is intended as interim guidance and will need to be updated once forthcoming regulations and guidelines are finalized by the USDA, which will likely not occur sometime this year. The global market for hemp consists of more than 25,000 products in nine submarkets:

- Agriculture;
- Textiles;
- Recycling;
- Automotive;
- Furniture;
- Food and beverages;
- Paper;
- Construction materials; and
- Personal care (including cannabidiol ("CBD")).

The NCUA noted that credit unions that choose to serve hemp-related businesses need to understand the complexities and risks involved. The credit union must have a Bank Secrecy Act and Anti-Money Laundering compliance program commensurate with such complexities and risks. The NCUA stressed a few areas for credit unions to focus on:

DUE DILIGENCE

Credit unions need to maintain appropriate due diligence procedures for hemp-related accounts and to comply with BSA and AML requirements to file Suspicious Activity Reports ("SARs") for any activity that appears to involve potential money laundering or illegal or suspicious activity. Credit unions need to remain alert to any indication an account owner is involved in illicit activity or engaging in an activity that is unusual for the business.

LEGAL COMPLIANCE

If a credit union serves a hemp-related business operating lawfully under the 2014 Farm Bill pilot provisions (and now the new USDA regulations), it is essential that the credit union knows the state's laws, regulations and agreements under which the member's hemp-related business operates. A credit union must verify the basis of how the member is a part of the pilot program. Otherwise, it may be providing banking services for an illegal activity. They must also adapt their ongoing due diligence and reporting approaches to any risks specific to participants in the pilot program.

STATE LAW

Before commencing any banking relationship with a hemp-related business, the credit union must first become familiar with any other state and federal laws that prohibit, restrict or otherwise govern these businesses and their activity. For example, certain hemp-related products may now or in the future be subject to state health departments as well as the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. This would include CBD products.

Serving hemp-related businesses includes both deposit activities and lending activities. Lending activities must comply with the member business lending regulations and be conducted in a safe and sound manner. Credit unions should not lose track of the fact that borrowers that are heavily dependent on products subject to state and federal law could be negatively affected by future regulations, particularly those requiring them to destroy product exceeding federal and state THC levels.

RISK PROTECTION

To mitigate risks with respect to serving hemp-related businesses a credit union should implement an effective compliance management system ("CMS") in which the credit union "onboards" the member consistent with its procedures for higher-risk members and which includes:

- Performing initial diligence on the legality of the activities, including state licensing;
- Ongoing monitoring of hemp customers;
- Developing specific risk tolerances for both credit- and deposit-related hemp customers; and
- Obtaining contractual covenants and obligations from hemp customers.

Credit unions entering into this space should build out a CMS with hemp-specific policies and procedures designed to ensure that the hemp

The Banking Law Journal

business members are operating within the credit union's established risk parameters and operating under applicable law. Credit unions should also develop policies and procedures to comply with guidance from the Financial Crimes Enforcement Network ("FinCEN") if the hemp's THC content exceeds legal thresholds or if the member is determined not to be operating in compliance with federal or state law, in which case the credit union may need to implement measures with respect to the member, potentially including the filing of an SAR. The key to enforcing the credit union's CMS with respect to a particular hemp customer is through contract. Credit unions banking hemp need to address legal and compliance requirements through a supplemental agreement with those customers.

In making a decision to bank hemp-related businesses, credit unions should consider, among other things:

- Does the customer have all licenses and sufficient controls to monitor its legal compliance, including compliance with federal and state law regulations regarding destruction of the product if the THC content exceeds 0.3 percent (note that these regulations are still being developed)?
- Will the product, or funds from the product, cross state lines? If so, is the product or activity legal in the surrounding states? Have the customer's hemp industry counterparties obtained all necessary licenses?
- Does the credit union have sufficient collateral if lending to hemprelated businesses, given that the product may have to be destroyed or be subject to forfeiture and seizure (along with other assets of the borrower) if the THC content exceeds 0.3 percent?
- Will the credit union be processing payments for hemp members, potentially implicating card network rules?
- Does the credit union have flexibility to alter its arrangements with a member as federal and state regulations are issued with respect to hemp?
- Did the credit union obtain board of directors approval of hemp banking activity generally and develop board reporting specific to hemp consistent with its existing MIS?

CONCLUSION

Navigating the hemp landscape can be accomplished through effective risk management. Doing so, however, will require adjustments and tailoring within a credit union's CMS and agreements with customers prior to commencing the activity to effectively manage the risk.