



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to: **Data Protection 2017**

4th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into data protection law

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Affärsadvokaterna i Sverige AB

Bae, Kim & Lee LLC

Bagus Enrico & Partners

Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C.

Cuatrecasas

Dittmar & Indrenius

Drew & Napier LLC

Ecija Abogados

ErsoyBilgehan

Eversheds Sutherland

GANADO Advocates

Gilbert + Tobin

GRATA International

Hacohen & Co.

Herbst Kinsky Rechtsanwälte GmbH

Hunton & Williams

Koushos Korfiotis Papacharalambous LLC

Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law

LPS L@w

Matheson

Mori Hamada & Matsumoto

Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP

Pachiu & Associates

Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd.

Portolano Cavallo

Rato, Ling, Lei & Cortés Lawyers

Rossi Asociados

Subramaniam & Associates (SNA)

Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS



global legal group

Contributing Editors
Anita Bapat and Aaron
P. Simpson, Hunton & Williams

Sales Director
Florjan Osmani

Account Director
Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager
Paul Mochalski

Sub Editor
Hollie Parker

Senior Editors
Suzie Levy, Rachel Williams

Chief Operating Officer
Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor
Alan Falach

Publisher
Rory Smith

Published by
Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design
F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source
iStockphoto

Printed by
Ashford Colour Press Ltd
May 2017

Copyright © 2017
Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-50-5
ISSN 2054-3786

Strategic Partners



General Chapter:

1	All Change for Data Protection: The European Data Protection Regulation – Bridget Treacy & Anita Bapat, Hunton & Williams	1
---	--	---

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

2	Australia	Gilbert + Tobin: Melissa Fai & Alex Borowsky	7
3	Austria	Herbst Kinsky Rechtsanwälte GmbH: Dr. Sonja Hebenstreit & Dr. Isabel Funk-Leisch	23
4	Belgium	Hunton & Williams: Wim Nauwelaerts & David Dumont	34
5	Canada	Osler, Hoskin & Harcourt LLP: Adam Kardash & Brandon Kerstens	43
6	Chile	Rossi Asociados: Claudia Rossi	53
7	China	Hunton & Williams: Manuel E. Maisog & Judy Li	60
8	Cyprus	Koushos Korfiotis Papacharalambous LLC: Anastasios Kareklas & Georgia Charalambous	67
9	Finland	Dittmar & Indrenius: Jukka Lång & Iris Keino	76
10	France	Hunton & Williams: Claire François	84
11	Germany	Hunton & Williams: Anna Pateraki	93
12	India	Subramaniam & Associates (SNA): Hari Subramaniam & Aditi Subramaniam	105
13	Indonesia	Bagus Enrico & Partners: Enrico Iskandar & Bimo Harimahesa	117
14	Ireland	Matheson: Anne-Marie Bohan & Andreas Carney	125
15	Israel	Hacohen & Co.: Yoram Hacohen	138
16	Italy	Portolano Cavallo: Laura Liguori & Adriano D'Ottavio	147
17	Japan	Mori Hamada & Matsumoto: Hiromi Hayashi & Rina Shimada	156
18	Kazakhstan	GRATA International: Leila Makhmetova & Saule Akhmetova	167
19	Korea	Bae, Kim & Lee LLC: Tae Uk Kang & Susan Park	176
20	Macau	Rato, Ling, Lei & Cortés Lawyers: Pedro Cortés & José Filipe Salreta	185
21	Malta	GANADO Advocates: Dr. Paul Micallef Grimaud & Dr. Philip Mifsud	194
22	Mexico	Creel, García-Cuéllar, Aiza y Enríquez, S.C.: Begoña Cancino Garín	202
23	Norway	Wikborg Rein Advokatfirma AS: Dr. Rolf Riisnæs & Dr. Emily M. Weitzenboeck	209
24	Portugal	Cuatrecasas: Leonor Chastre	220
25	Romania	Pachiu & Associates: Mihaela Cracea & Alexandru Lefter	231
26	Russia	GRATA International: Yana Dianova	242
27	Senegal	LPS L@w: Léon Patrice Sarr & Ndéye Khady Youm	255
28	Singapore	Drew & Napier LLC: Lim Chong Kin & Charmian Aw	263
29	South Africa	Eversheds Sutherland: Tanya Waksman	273
30	Spain	Ecija Abogados: Carlos Pérez Sanz & Pia Lestrade Dahms	281
31	Sweden	Affärsadvokaterna i Sverige AB: Mattias Lindberg	291
32	Switzerland	Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd.: Michèle Burnier & Lorenza Ferrari Hofer	300
33	Taiwan	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Ken-Ying Tseng & Rebecca Hsiao	310
34	Turkey	ErsoyBilgehan: Zihni Bilgehan & Yusuf Mansur Özer	319
35	United Kingdom	Hunton & Williams: Anita Bapat & Adam Smith	327
36	USA	Hunton & Williams: Aaron P. Simpson & Jenna N. Rode	336

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

All Change for Data Protection: The European Data Protection Regulation

Hunton & Williams

Bridget Treacy



Anita Bapat



Introduction

After a long process to update Europe's data protection laws, the General Data Protection Regulation will enter into force on 25 May 2018 ("Regulation") [1]. The advent of the Regulation follows a lengthy legislative process which took over three years to complete. Whilst most were agreed on the need to reform the current Data Protection Directive (EC/95/46/EC) and replace it with a law that was appropriate for the complex and sophisticated data-driven world in which we live, the content of the new law was heavily negotiated. The Regulation will significantly increase the compliance obligations of organisations that process personal data, strengthen the rights of individuals in relation to their data, and extend the enforcement powers of regulators, including the ability to impose fines of up to €20 million or 4% of global revenue. With just over a year until the Regulation takes effect, organisations should be assessing their compliance posture now, and taking steps to prepare for implementation. This chapter offers a practical perspective for organisations preparing for change.

Overview of Key Changes

In many ways, the Regulation is an enhanced, more rigorous version of the existing Data Protection Directive, using much of the same terminology and many of the concepts with which data protection practitioners are familiar. For example, conditions for processing personal data, consent for processing and notice requirements all remain. Where apparently small tweaks have been made to the text, organisations should be cautious: in many cases, minor tweaks (such as the strengthened requirements for consent) will have a significant practical impact on internal processes, and may take some time to socialise within organisations. Other concepts, such as the 'One Stop Shop', data portability and breach reporting are brand new and will require careful analysis to implement. A number of key provisions are explained below.

Harmonisation

The existing European Data Protection Directive has required local implementation by each Member State, and individual Member States have taken differing approaches to this. As a consequence, there is a patchwork of 28 separate data protection laws within the EU, so that organisations that operate in multiple Member States must comply with differing laws across multiple jurisdictions, at considerable cost. In contrast, the Regulation will take direct effect in every Member State without any need for local implementing

law. This will streamline and harmonise EU data protection law to a significant extent. Local variances will still remain in a number of areas, such as processing personal data for health, employment and statistical purposes. Additionally, Member States may decide to impose further obligations under national law. For example, in February 2017, the German federal cabinet adopted a draft data protection bill in order to supplement the Regulation and provide further requirements in relation to, amongst other things, data protection officers, restrictions on penalties for non-compliance and exemption from the notice requirements. Other Member States may well follow suit and so organisations will still need to be aware of other relevant local laws.

One Stop Shop and Consistency Mechanism

One of the cornerstones of the Regulation is the 'One Stop Shop'. At present, organisations may be subject to the supervisory powers of the data protection authorities of several Member States, each of which may have a different approach to an issue and differing powers of enforcement. For organisations with business operations in several Member States, it is time-consuming to deal with multiple regulators, and difficult (and expensive) to accommodate the differing approaches that regulators may take in relation to the same issue. The One Stop Shop was designed to overcome these practical difficulties and allow for the supervisory authority of a business' main establishment (i.e., the place where the main processing activities take place), or its only establishment in Europe, to be the 'lead' authority for cross-border data processing [2]. In theory, this should mean less duplication and time spent dealing with multiple regulators. Draft guidance released by the Article 29 Working Party in December 2016 for public consultation [3] makes it clear that it is possible to have different main establishments, and therefore lead supervisory authorities, for different processing activities, e.g., HR processing, customer data, etc. It should be noted, however, that the appointment of a lead supervisory authority does not prevent other supervisory authorities from asserting jurisdiction over matters that concern them, such as complaints made within their jurisdiction [4]. This, combined with the fact that Member States have carve outs for certain matters, such as employment law, and may impose further legal requirements in addition to the Regulation (see above), means that the One Stop Shop may have limited practical benefit.

In order to ensure that the Regulation is enforced uniformly across the EU, the Regulation will require the lead authority to consult with other concerned data protection authorities in cases in which enforcement action by a lead authority affects processing activities in more than one Member State (the "Consistency Mechanism") [5]. A wide range of issues, such as multijurisdictional enforcement and binding corporate rules, will fall under the Consistency Mechanism.

Extra-Territorial Effect

There is significant change to the territorial scope of Europe's data protection law. Currently, the EU Data Protection Directive applies to data controllers that are established within the EU, or make use of data processing equipment situated within the EU. In contrast, the Regulation will apply to the activities of a data controller or data processor established in the EU, whether the processing takes place in the EU or elsewhere [6]. It will also apply to processing by a data controller or data processor established outside the EU where the processing relates to the offering of goods or services to data subjects in the EU or monitoring their behaviour in the EU [7]. This will mean that many non-EU businesses, particularly those active online, will find themselves subject to European law. It should also be noted that the Regulation places direct legal obligations on data processors, as well as data controllers. For the first time, data processors will be subject to the same range of sanctions as a data controller in the event of a violation of the Regulation.

Breach Notification Requirements

Currently, Europe does not have mandatory breach notification requirements across all industry sectors. There are some industry-specific notification requirements, and a handful of Member States have enacted their own data breach laws, but the position is not uniform. This position will change under the Regulation. In the event of a data breach, an organisation will be required to notify the competent data protection authority without undue delay and, where feasible, no later than 72 hours after becoming aware of the breach. There is an exemption from notification where the breach is unlikely to result in risk to individuals' rights and freedoms, for example, where the data are encrypted [8]. Where the breach involves high risks to individuals' rights and freedoms, an organisation must also communicate the breach to the individual without undue delay [9]. Mandatory breach notification, together with the ability for individuals to bring group actions against controllers or processors [10], is likely to transform the data breach landscape, bringing the EU closer to the U.S. breach regime, and making data breach a significant area of risk that organisations will need to prioritise.

Increased Obligations and Accountability

The Regulation introduces a number of requirements designed to make organisations more accountable in their data processing activities. The Regulation specifies detailed compliance requirements for both data controllers and data processors, and requires organisations to implement measures to ensure and to demonstrate, including through the adoption and implementation of appropriate data protection policies, that their processing activities comply with the requirements of the Regulation [11]. Some of these changes are set out below.

- **Maintain Inventory of Data Processing** – The Regulation [12] sets out a detailed list of information that must be included in an organisation's internal data processing inventory. This replaces the existing national registration requirements. In many cases, these new requirements are significantly more detailed than the equivalent national registration requirements under the Data Protection Directive. Organisations will need to give careful thought as to how these records will be created and maintained. Inventories must be available for inspection on request.
- **Lawful Basis for Data Processing** – As is the case under the Data Protection Directive, organisations may only process

personal data where they have a lawful basis for doing so. The lawful bases are similar to those permitted under the Directive, but the legitimate interests ground, on which companies in the UK routinely rely, is tightened. The relevant legitimate interests must be set out in the data protection notice provided to individuals [13], and the individual can object to processing based on legitimate interests, including profiling [14]. The reversal of the burden of proof in this context will mean that controllers will need to prove why they need to continue to process the personal data; rather than individuals demonstrating why their rights or freedoms are infringed.

- **Tighter Requirements for Consent** – Consent will become more difficult to use as a basis for data processing under the Regulation. Organisations that rely on consent will need to review their existing practices carefully and ensure that any consent which they obtain is freely given, specific, informed and unambiguous [15]. Data controllers will have the evidential burden of proving that they have obtained consent [16], which will require most organisations to scrutinise their existing consent mechanisms. 'Opt-out' consent, and implied consent mechanisms will need to be updated. Consent will not be considered valid if there is a 'significant imbalance' between the parties, for example, in an employer/employee relationship, requiring detailed review of the circumstances in which consent is utilised in an employment context. The Regulation will also introduce a requirement to obtain parental consent to the processing of personal data relating to a child under 16 years of age [17]. Organisations will need to consider carefully how best to achieve this, particularly in an online context where age verification can be difficult.
- **Data Protection by Design and by Default** – The Regulation will require organisations to implement data protection by design and by default [18]. These principles require organisations to take privacy and data protection issues into account from the start of any product design process and during the entire life-cycle of the relevant processing activities, and to properly assess the data protection risks before launching any new products. Data controllers will be required to establish and maintain appropriate technical and organisational measures to implement data protection principles in an effective way and to integrate safeguards for data processing so that, by default, only data necessary for each specific processing purpose is collected. This emphasis on data minimisation should be noted, particularly in organisations embarking on big data projects.
- **Data Protection Impact Assessments** – Data controllers will be required to perform Data Protection Impact Assessments ("DPIA") [19], where the processing of personal data is likely to result in high risk for the rights and freedoms of individuals. In particular, a DPIA will be required for automated data processing activities, including (i) profiling leading to decisions that produce legal effects for an individual, (ii) where the processing includes large-scale processing of certain types of data, or (iii) systematic monitoring of a publicly accessible area on a large scale.

Contracting with Processors

Unlike the Data Protection Directive (which generally relies on data controllers to contractually flow down compliance obligations to data processors), the Regulation will impose direct compliance obligations on data processors [20]. As with the Data Protection Directive, the Regulation will require that the outsourcing of data processing activities by a data controller to a data processor is governed by a written data processing agreement. Whereas the Data Protection Directive does not specify the content of this data processing agreement, the Regulation mandates in detail the terms

that must be included in such a contract. Data processors will be directly liable for the security of personal data during processing activities. As noted earlier, data processors will be subject to enforcement by supervisory authorities in the same way as data controllers for violation of the Regulation.

Mandatory Data Protection Officers

The designation of a Data Protection Officer (“DPO”) [21] will be compulsory under the Regulation where (i) the processing is carried out by a public authority or body, (ii) the core activities of the data controller or data processor require regular and systematic monitoring of individuals on a large scale, or (iii) the core activities of the data controller or data processor include processing sensitive personal data on a large scale, including data relating to criminal convictions and offences. In other situations, a DPO may be appointed by the data controller or data processor on a voluntary basis (but organisations should refrain from using the term ‘DPO’ to avoid the DPO taking on the other mandatory duties, tasks and responsibilities prescribed by the Regulation), and must be appointed where required by EU Member State law.

Where mandated, the Regulation specifies the tasks that a DPO is required to undertake. First and foremost, a DPO is expected to advise the controller or processor about their compliance obligations under the Regulation, and to monitor compliance with the Regulation, other applicable data protection requirements, and internal data protection policies. The DPO will provide advice on data protection impact assessments, cooperate with the supervisory authority, and act as a contact point for the regulator. The DPO role may be fulfilled in addition to other duties, but it is important for a DPO to dedicate sufficient time and resources to their DPO duties and any additional duties should not conflict with the DPO role. Finally, the Regulation specifically requires the DPO to have regard to the risks associated with particular data processing activities including: the nature; scope; context; and purposes of the processing. Organisations are able to choose where to position a DPO in order to best fulfil the criteria outlined in the Regulation. This will vary according to the corporate and internal structure of an organisation. For example, a DPO may sit in Legal, IT, IS, Compliance, Risk, etc.

Enforcement

Enforcement powers under the Data Protection Directive vary considerably in practice. In a significant change, all sectors will be subject to the new enforcement powers, sanctions and penalties that the Regulation imposes. Currently, fines under national law are uneven, and are comparatively low (e.g., the maximum UK fine is £500,000). The Regulation will significantly increase the maximum fine to €20 million, or 4% of annual worldwide turnover, whichever is greater [22]. This higher band of fines is applicable to violation of core provisions of the Regulation, including the need for an applicable legal basis for processing. Additional powers include the power to audit data processing activities, which will be new in some jurisdictions, such as the UK. The Regulation will harmonise the approach to enforcement across the EU although, of necessity, there will continue to be variations in practice under local law. Further, the Regulation will make it easier for individuals to enforce their rights [23]. Individuals will have the right to lodge a complaint with a supervisory authority [24], obtain a judicial remedy against a supervisory authority [25], or obtain a judicial remedy against a controller or processor [26]. As noted earlier, where there has been a breach of the rights of data subjects, any association or body

acting in the public interest will be able to bring a claim on behalf of affected data subjects under the Regulation, somewhat similarly to U.S. class actions.

Strengthening of Data Subject Rights

The Regulation strengthens the rights of data subjects and shifts the burden of establishing such rights away from individuals and towards the organisations that process their personal data. The existing right of erasure is bolstered by an explicit ‘right to be forgotten’, obliging organisations not only to delete data that it is no longer necessary to process, where consent has been withdrawn or where the individual objects, but also to inform recipients of the data that the individual requires those data to be deleted. Individuals will also have a new express right of data portability; a new right envisaged to empower individuals and foster competition between data controllers offering similar services. This will require controllers to provide personal data (that has been submitted by individuals or generated by them) in a structured, commonly-used and machine-readable format to individuals. This will apply where consent or contract performance is relied upon as the legal basis for processing personal data. Individuals will also be able to request, where technically feasible, that the data controller send his or her personal data to another data controller, making it easier for consumers to switch between service providers. In addition, individuals will have greater informational rights (including the right to be informed on collection of retention periods, potential third party recipients and the right to complain to supervisory authorities) and a general right to not be subject to automatic automated processing, such as profiling, that produces legal effects for individuals or otherwise significantly affects them.

What Should Organisations Do Now to Prepare?

Start Now!

Many organisations are unaware of the significance of Europe’s new data protection laws, or of the extent to which their businesses may be affected. While there have been numerous media headlines about the levels of fines that supervisory authorities will be able to impose, and about the more controversial aspects of the right to be forgotten, many of the changes that form part of the Regulation are much more mundane, and do not merit media headlines. However, organisations need to delve into the detail of these seemingly straightforward tweaks and amendments and consider the impact of these changes on their individual organisations. It is quite likely that some of these seemingly small amendments to the legislative text will have a big impact on internal processes, and take time to implement in a practical way.

Many organisations have been working for some time to analyse what the Regulation will mean for them, and have been planning change projects to implement the required changes to their processes. It is by no means too late to start, but organisations should be aware that the longer they wait, the more they will have to compete for external legal and consultancy support. All organisations will have work to do in order to prepare for the Regulation, and there is already a sense that knowledgeable external legal advisers and consultants are busy.

Where to Start?

It is tempting to start by analysing the Regulation, but the better

starting point is to verify existing personal data assets and how they are used within an organisation, for what purpose, with whom they are shared, and what the current data protection programme consists of. Without taking stock of these basic facts, a great deal of time can easily be wasted. The composition of the existing data assets will help to identify key risks and to prioritise remedial tasks.

The data diligence phase can be conducted by devising a basic questionnaire that addresses the following broad topics: data collection (including notice); data processing (legal basis); purpose limitation; data minimisation; data quality; data retention; individual rights; data security; service providers; international data transfers; and works councils. Once this core information is collated from across the business, it must be assessed in order to evaluate the current state of compliance. This should provide a good foundation for the work that will need to be undertaken to ensure compliance with the Regulation, particularly in those areas where the new requirements represent only a small change to the position under the Directive.

Analysing the Regulation

The second phase of activity is to identify which of the changes in the Regulation will impact the organisation, and what changes will need to be made to the company's existing data protection compliance programme. Based on an assessment of this sort, the organisation will be able to create a list of remedial activities, and begin to prioritise them for action.

Analysing the Regulation may seem daunting, but a number of organisations have approached this task by breaking down the requirements into manageable topics, which are then discussed in detail with relevant business colleagues. It is crucial that those responsible for the operation of business processes are engaged in these discussions. Key topics to consider include the following: definitions; territorial scope; key principles for processing; legal basis for processing; sensitive personal data; privacy notices; individual rights (access, rectification, erasure, restriction of processing, portability, objection, automated decision-making (including profiling)); controller/processor responsibilities; data protection by design and by default; data protection impact assessments; security; breach notification; and cross-border data transfers. Under each of these topic headings, organisations need to understand what the new requirements are, and how they differ from the position under the Directive.

Conducting a Gap Analysis

The next step is to assess existing compliance against the requirements of the Regulation, and devise specific steps to address any gaps. This gap analysis is a critical step, and a thorough approach is required so that the organisation can then prioritise key remedial tasks. It is only by descending into the detail of the legislation that an organisation will have a true sense of the magnitude of the remedial actions that lie ahead.

Creating an Implementation Plan

Once the remedial activities have been identified, the organisation will need to prioritise tasks for implementation. Those that require a lengthy implementation period will need to be planned accordingly. Otherwise, some organisations may prefer to action a number of easy 'quick wins' at the outset. Remaining tasks will need to be scheduled for attention having regard to the importance of the issue, the risk associated with the issue, the amount of time likely to be required to address the issue, and available resources.

The data protection team will need to engage the support of others in the organisation to plan and implement required changes. The volume of work, the technical complexity of some of the tasks, and the need for the organisation to play an active role in ensuring that any changes work from an operational perspective, all point to the need to engage additional resources, both internal and external. The data protection team will play a key role in managing the project, and evaluating the implementation, but some organisations are also requiring operational teams to take responsibility and report progress with implementation to regular meetings of the compliance committee. Such a reporting structure may help to ensure that preparation for the Regulation has sufficient internal visibility.

Key Tools for Managing Privacy Risk

In addition to planning for implementation of the Regulation, organisations must consider how they will maintain their data protection compliance programme on an ongoing basis. Key tools to assist with this include the appointment of a DPO, an ongoing focus on the structure and content of the data protection compliance programme, and considering how to adopt a risk-based approach to data protection that, beyond legal compliance, reflects an individual organisation's risk appetite and culture.

Appointing a Data Protection Officer

Many organisations are seeking to appoint DPOs, even where they are not mandated by the Regulation. DPOs can play a key role in managing data privacy risk. As companies search for new ways to understand their customers, manage their businesses and monetise their data assets, a DPO can help to realise these opportunities, ensuring that existing data assets are safeguarded and helping to enhance and protect a corporate reputation.

The detailed responsibilities of a DPO will vary from one organisation to another, but the key focus of the role is to oversee data privacy compliance and to manage data protection risk for the organisation. This is not just about legal compliance with data privacy laws and breach prevention. A DPO can actually help organisations assess new business opportunities that utilise data assets.

Data Protection Compliance Programme

As organisations prepare for implementation of the Regulation, they should also look to their broader privacy compliance framework to ensure that work to implement the Regulation is embedded in that framework.

Typically, a privacy compliance programme will focus on four key areas:

- legal compliance risk – ensuring that the company complies with data privacy laws wherever it does business;
- reputation risk – managing the risk of harm to a company's reputation that can arise from data protection mistakes;
- investment risk – ensuring that data privacy and security requirements are addressed early in the development of new technologies, services and processes. This can prevent disruption and additional costs to business, and limit privacy risk for both the organisation and individuals; and
- reticence risk – companies need to use data protection as a 'business enabler'. Unless companies understand and proactively address data privacy, they may overlook business opportunities, or fall behind their competitors.

Key components of the programme include: policies and processes; people; and technology to help manage data protection compliance.

- *Policies and processes* constitute the rulebook which describes the organisation's approach to data protection, and set out the guidelines and rules that staff are expected to follow. Processes include specific tools that help the organisation, and the DPO, to identify and calibrate privacy risk.
- *People* are key to implementing the organisation's data privacy rulebook. Training and awareness-raising are essential to embedding a privacy programme and building a corporate privacy culture. Staff need to know what the baseline legal requirements are, what the organisation's approach is, and why the organisation thinks data protection is important. The DPO can play a key role in raising awareness and rolling out training.
- *Technology* refers to systems and automated controls. The DPO needs to work with the organisation's IT and Information Security functions to ensure that systems operate in a privacy-compliant way, and that data security is ensured.

Risk-Based Approach

It should also be noted that the Regulation proposes a risk-based approach to compliance, under which organisations will bear responsibility for assessing the degree of risk that their processing activities pose to individuals. Adopting a risk-based approach to compliance does not alter rights or obligations, but is a valuable tool that organisations can utilise to demonstrate accountability, prioritise actions, raise and inform awareness about risk, and identify appropriate mitigation measures. The goal of a risk-based approach to compliance is to reduce the risk as far as is practical but to be explicit about the remaining risk, and how it will be managed. By adopting a risk-based approach to the entire life-cycle management of personal data, from collection to processing to deletion, an organisation can achieve a scalable and proportionate approach to compliance. Boards of directors, CEOs and general counsel have started to realise that irresponsible uses of data, and data breaches, can jeopardise customer trust, destroy reputations, affect their share price, and lead to fines. These incidents can even result in senior executives losing their jobs.

Conclusion

The Regulation will see a shift in how organisations see and deal with data protection, not least because of the strengthened sanctions regime which features fines of up to €20 million, or 4% of annual worldwide turnover. Now, more than ever, organisations

need to manage data privacy risk proactively. There is no time to lose. Organisations must begin to consider what the Regulation will mean for them, and start to assess their compliance posture, so that remedial tasks can be identified and targeted in good time. In a world in which personal data processing underpins so much business, social, charitable and public sector activity, and where individuals are increasingly aware of their data protection rights, these tasks cannot be left to chance. An organisation's reputation is increasingly tied to how well they respect and take care of the personal data that they process. The time has come to prepare for the game changer in data protection: the Regulation.

Endnotes

1. A copy of the consolidated text is available at: http://static.ow.ly/docs/Regulation_consolidated_text_EN_47uW.pdf.
2. Article 56 of the Regulation.
3. Article 29 Working Party Guidelines for identifying a controller or processor's lead supervisory authority WP 244 available at http://ec.europa.eu/information_society/newsroom/image/document/2016-51/wp244_en_40857.pdf.
4. Article 56(2) of the Regulation.
5. Articles 63 of the Regulation.
6. Article 3(1) of the Regulation.
7. Article 3(2) of the Regulation.
8. Article 33 of the Regulation.
9. Article 34 of the Regulation.
10. Article 80 of the Regulation.
11. Articles 5 and 24 of the Regulation.
12. Article 30 of the Regulation.
13. Articles 14(1)(c) and 14(2)(b) of the Regulation.
14. Article 21(1) of the Regulation.
15. Article 4 of the Regulation.
16. Article 7 of the Regulation.
17. Article 8 of the Regulation.
18. Article 25 of the Regulation.
19. Article 35 of the Regulation.
20. Articles 28–35 and 37–38 of the Regulation.
21. Articles 37–39 of the Regulation.
22. Article 83 of the Regulation.
23. Articles 57, 77–79 of the Regulation.
24. Article 77 of the Regulation.
25. Article 78 of the Regulation.
26. Article 79 of the Regulation.

**Bridget Treacy**

Hunton & Williams
30 St Mary Axe
London, EC3A 8EP
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 207 220 5600
Fax: +44 207 220 5772
Email: btreacy@hunton.com
URL: www.hunton.com

Bridget Treacy leads Hunton & Williams' UK Privacy and Cybersecurity team and is also the Managing Partner of the firm's London office. Her practice focuses on all aspects of privacy, data protection, information governance and e-commerce issues for multinational companies across a broad range of industry sectors. Bridget's background in complex technology transactions enables her to advise on the specific data protection and information governance issues that occur in a commercial context. Bridget is the editor of the specialist privacy journal, *Privacy and Data Protection*, and has contributed to a number of published texts. According to *Chambers UK*, "[s]he is stellar, one of the leading thinkers on data protection, providing practical solutions to thorny legal issues".

**Anita Bapat**

Hunton & Williams
30 St Mary Axe
London, EC3A 8EP
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 207 220 5700
Email: abapat@hunton.com
URL: www.hunton.com

Anita Bapat advises multinational clients on general European data protection compliance, including preparation for the General Data Protection Regulation, across a range of sectors. She also advises on employee and customer data issues and electronic commerce. Anita has extensive knowledge of data protection and privacy legislation from her previous experience as a government lawyer, specialising in information and human rights law.

HUNTON & WILLIAMS

Hunton & Williams' Global Privacy and Cybersecurity practice is a leader in its field. It has been ranked by *Computerworld* for four consecutive years as the top law firm globally for privacy and data security. *Chambers & Partners* ranks Hunton & Williams as the top privacy and data security practice in its *Chambers & Partners UK*, *Chambers Global* and *Chambers USA* guides.

The team of more than 25 privacy professionals, spanning three continents and five offices, is led by Lisa Sotto, who was named among the *National Law Journal's* "100 Most Influential Lawyers". With lawyers qualified in six jurisdictions, the team includes internationally-recognised partners Bridget Treacy and Wim Nauwelaerts, former FBI cybersecurity counsel Paul Tiao, and former UK Information Commissioner Richard Thomas.

In addition, the firm's Centre for Information Policy Leadership, led by Bojana Bellamy, collaborates with industry leaders, consumer organisations and government agencies to develop innovative and pragmatic approaches to privacy and information security.

This article appeared in the 2017 edition of The International Comparative Legal Guide to: Data Protection published by Global Legal Group Ltd, London. www.iclg.com

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Lending & Secured Finance
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk